

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 MERRICK JOSE MOORE,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-0763 GEB KJM P

12 vs.

13 S. SALENGER, et al.,

14 Defendants.

15 _____/

16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with an action for violation of civil
17 rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

18 Plaintiff filed a “motion for discovery” on May 19, 2006 in which plaintiff
19 apparently seeks to obtain the last known addresses of defendants Fleischman and Sloss. On July
20 10, 2006, plaintiff submitted the documents necessary for service of process on Fleischman and
21 Sloss and plaintiff did provide addresses for Fleischman and Sloss. Accordingly, his “motion for
22 discovery” will be denied.

23 On June 21, 2006, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the following injunctive relief:

24 1. An order directing counsel of record for defendant Sloss to provide plaintiff
25 with Sloss’s address;

26 /////

1 2. An order allowing prison officials to allow plaintiff to contact opposing
2 counsel by phone;

3 3. An order directing Correctional Officer J. Owens to “seast and desist the denial
4 of [plaintiff’s] access to the court’s and gathering information inregards to [plaintiff’s] case;” and

5 4. An order directing “institutional heads” to stop retaliating against plaintiff.

6 No counsel has appeared for defendant Sloss, in fact, there is no opposing counsel
7 at this point, and plaintiff has not provided anything specifically demonstrating that the other
8 relief he seeks is warranted. Plaintiff’s motion will be denied.

9 Finally, on June 22, 2006, plaintiff filed as motion in which he asks that the court:

10 1. Issue an order granting plaintiff legal phone calls;

11 2. “Order to seast and desist interference with [plaintiff’s] right to contact
12 attorney’s and conduct legal investigation;” and

13 3. “[C]ompel the warden to stop staff retaliaiton.”

14 Again, plaintiff’s requests are not supported by legal authority or specific facts; his June 22, 2006
15 motion will be denied.

16 /////

17 /////

18 /////

19 /////

20 /////

21 /////

22 /////

23 /////

24 /////

25 /////

26 /////

1 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. Plaintiff's May 19, 2006 "Motion For Discovery" is denied;

3 2. Plaintiff's June 21, 2006 "Motion Seeking Judicial Intervention & injunction"
4 is denied; and

5 3. Plaintiff's June 22, 2006 "Motion Seeking Court Order . . ." is denied.

6 DATED: October 13, 2006.

7
8 
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12

13 ¹
14 moor0763.pc
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26